

REVIEW ARTICLE

Phenotypic Identification and Distribution of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from Clinical Samples in Some Selected Hospital Laboratories in Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria.

Umar, Asiya Imam¹ , Manga, Shuaibu Bala² , Baki, Aliyu Sarkin² , Uba, Ahmad³  and Fardami, Aminu Yusuf^{2*} 

¹Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria.

²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Chemical and Life Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria

³Department of Medicinal chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received November 27, 2022

Accepted December 12, 2022

Published December 30, 2022

KEYWORDS

Antibiotics, Distribution, MRSA and *S. aureus*

© The authors. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

ABSTRACT

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is one of the most successful modern pathogens, and is transmitted in both health-care and community settings as a leading cause of bacteraemia, endocarditis, skin and soft tissue infections. This study was undertaken to identify and determine the distribution of MRSA from clinical samples in Sokoto. A total of 95 non-repetitive *S. aureus* isolates were collected and screened for confirmation using standard microbiological techniques. Antibiotic sensitivity testing was carried out by disc diffusion using Cefoxitin. Of the 95 isolates, 42 (44.2%) were methicillin resistant. The most potent antibiotic was quinupristin/dalfopristin with 83.3% followed by rifampicin with 81.0%. The least activity was shown to be in ciprofloxacin with 78.6% followed by tetracycline with 64.3%. Based on distribution of the MRSA, the highest prevalence of MRSA seen was by study centers Specialist Hospital (57.1%), females (48.9%), by age 21-30 years (56.5%), by samples pus, nasal and urethral swabs (100%) and patient admission status, inpatients (51.9%). With MRSA being a nosocomial pathogen, our findings highlight the need to pursue infection control measures in the hospitals while implementing antibiotic stewardship programs to reduce antibiotics misuse.

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is a bacterial pathogen that has been linked to a variety of infections in humans, ranging from minor skin infections to serious systemic disorders including pneumonia and endocarditis. MRSA has been recognized as a pathogen of global concern since the first report of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) in the 1960s. MRSA was first isolated in the early 1960s (Turner *et al.*, 2019), and for the majority of the past five decades, MRSA infections have been predominantly connected with hospital environments and referred to as hospital-acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA). Community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA) infections began to develop in apparently healthy patients with no recognized risk factors for hospital-acquired infections in the early 1990s (Stefani *et al.*, 2012). This bacteria has quickly established itself as the bacterium of the twentieth century, causing substantial infections in both health care settings and the general public (Li *et al.*, 2019). Institutional environments, patient groups, and

close physical contact are the three subcategories of risk factors for MRSA infection. Hospitalization in healthcare facilities and long-term care facilities such as nursing homes and institutions for the elderly are both conducive to transmission. Patients with a history of antibiotic use or abuse, extended hospitalization, indwelling catheters and other indwelling medical devices, dialysis, and being treated with enteral nutrition are all at a greater risk of colonisation and infection. Close physical contact transmission is most common among athletes, military personnel, inmates and residents of penal facilities, homosexuals, and infants in day care centres (Sassmannshausen *et al.*, 2016).

Several researches were carried out and some are still going on Multidrug-Resistant Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) globally as the circulating gene is on rise in different hospital settings (Al-Trad *et al.*, 2023; Dierikx *et al.*, 2023; Nwabuife *et al.*, 2023; Rox

Correspondence: Fardami, Aminu Yusuf. Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Chemical and Life Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria. ✉ aminufy@gmail.com Phone Number; +234 806 861 6382

How to cite: Umar A. I., Manga S. B., Baki A. S., Uba A. and Fardami A. Y. (2023). Phenotypic Identification and Distribution of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from Clinical Samples in Some Selected Hospital Laboratories in Sokoto, Sokoto State, Nigeria. UMYU Scientifica, 1(2), 157 – 163. <https://doi.org/10.56919/usci.1222.021>

et al., 2023; Sarwar *et al.*, 2023). Ajayi *et al.*, (2023) from Ekiti State Nigeria who worked on the distribution of *lasI* and *rbfR* virulent gene among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in clinical samples of Ekiti State University Teaching Hospital, in Ado Ekiti-Nigeria, outlined that infection with *Staphylococcus aureus* has been considered a major problem in hospitals and the clinical importance of *S. aureus* is attributed to notable virulence factors and genetic diversity. Therefore identification of the distribution of MRSA within Sokoto metropolis will give baseline information on the phenotypic and distribution of the circulating methicillin resistant genes of *S. aureus* within this region. This study was aimed to identify and determine the distribution of MRSA from some clinical samples in Sokoto metropolis, Sokoto State, Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolates

Isolates used in this study were obtained from Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Specialist Hospital and Maryam Abacha Women and Children Hospital all in Sokoto, North Western Nigeria. All isolates were identified by their colony morphology, Gram staining, catalase test, coagulase test using both slide and tube methods and deoxyribonuclease test (Garcia and Isenberg, 2010; UKSMI, 2014). All isolates were examined for methicillin resistance by: Cefoxitin disk (30µg) diffusion test (CDD). Inoculum for Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing was prepared by the direct colony suspension method as the method recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute for testing *Staphylococci* for potential methicillin resistance (CLSI, 2012) and all MRSA isolates were subjected to antibiotic susceptibility testing to different antibiotics

Cefoxitin Disk Diffusion Test

Cefoxitin disk 30µg (Oxoid, UK) was used on Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid, UK). The inoculum turbidity was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland and the agar plates inoculated, inverted and incubated at 35°C for 24h. After the prescribed period of incubation the zone of inhibition was measured using a metre rule (against transmitted light) and the results (zones ≤21mm indicate resistance) interpreted using the CLSI 2014 guidelines.

Inoculum for Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing was prepared by the direct colony suspension method as the method recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute for testing *Staphylococci* for potential methicillin resistance (CLSI, 2012).

Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

Standard inoculum was prepared by making a direct saline suspension of isolated colonies selected from an 18-hour agar plate incubated at 37°C. The suspension was adjusted to achieve a turbidity equivalent to a 0.5

McFarland (1-2 x 10⁸ CFU/ml). It was then observed, using adequate light to visually compare the inoculum tube and the 0.5 McFarland standards against a card with a white background and contrasting black lines. Antibiogram was done in accordance to Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). Commercially prepared antibiotic discs were placed on the inoculated Mueller Hinton agar 25mm away from each other. The plate was then incubated at 35°C for 18-24 hours after which the zones were read using the interpretation chart provide by clinical laboratory standard institute (CLSI, 2020).

RESULTS

During the period of this study a total of 95 isolates were collected from the two hospitals medical microbiology laboratories.

The results of the prevalence of MRSA among the isolates collected from the two hospitals are presented in Table 1. Out of the 35 confirmed *S. aureus* isolates from Specialists Hospital 20 (57.1) were confirmed as MRSA using Cefoxitin disc diffusion of the isolates confirmed as *S. aureus* from UDUTH only 22(36.7%) were identified as strains of MRSA.

The results of the antibiogram pattern of the MRSA isolates to the different antibiotics are presented in Table 2. The most potent antibiotic was quinupristin/dalfopristin with 83.3% of all the tested isolates being sensitive and an inhibition zone of ≥19mm, it is followed by Rifampicin with 81.0% inhibition zone of ≥20mm. The least activity was shown to be in ciprofloxacin for which 78.6% of the isolates demonstrated phenotypic resistance with an inhibition zone of ≤15mm, followed by erythromycin inhibition zone of ≤13mm.

The results of the distribution of the Methicillin resistant isolates based on the age groups of the study subjects are presented in Table 3. The highest prevalence was observed in the age group of 21-30 years where 13(56.5%) of the 23 isolates were found to be MRSA the age group with the least prevalence is 41-50 years age group only 1(14.3%) out of 7 isolates being MRSA. Also the results of the distribution of the MRSA isolates between male and female gender are presented in Table 4. Of the 48 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from male subjects, only 19(39.6%) were MRSA, however, the prevalence of MRSA in the female gender is 48.9% (23/47).

The results of the prevalence of the methicillin-resistant isolates by patient admission status are presented in Table 5. The highest prevalence of MRSA was seen in in-patients with 28 (51.9%) while the out-patients recorded a prevalence of 14 (34.1%) out of the 42 isolates. Also the results of the prevalence of the resistant isolates in

the different types of the isolates collected are presented in Table 6. The prevalence of the resistant isolates in the different types of the isolates collected had 100% prevalence seen in Nasal swab, Urethral swab, and Pus followed by Urine where the prevalence is 53.8%.

Catheter tip yielded a prevalence of 20% while no resistant isolate was recovered from Ear swab.

Table 1: Prevalence of MRSA among the *S. aureus* isolates collected from Specialist Hospital Sokoto and Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital Sokoto (UDUTH)

| Hospital | MSSA | MRSA | TOTAL |
|---------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Specialist Hospital | 15(42.9) | 20(57.1) | 35 |
| UDUTH | 38(63.3) | 22(36.7) | 60 |
| Total | 53(55.8) | 42(44.2) | 95(100) |

P-value = 0.053, $\chi^2 = 3.758$

Table 2: Susceptibility pattern of the Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates to different antibiotics

| Antibiotic | Disc content (µg) | Antibiogram of the isolates (mean zone of inhibition) | | |
|---------------|-------------------|---|--------------|-----------|
| | | Sensitive | Intermediate | Resistant |
| Rifampicin | 5 | 34(81.0) | - | 8(19.0) |
| Ciprofloxacin | 5 | 7(16.7) | 2(4.8) | 33(78.6) |
| Clindamycin | 2 | 30(71.4) | 5(11.9) | 7(16.7) |
| Teicoplanin | 2 | 27(64.3) | 6(14.3) | 9(21.4) |
| Tetracycline | 30 | 11(26.2) | 5(11.9) | 26(61.9) |
| QD | 15 | 35(83.3) | 1(2.4) | 6(14.3) |
| Erythromycin | 15 | 11(26.2) | 4(9.5) | 27(64.3) |
| Gentamycin | 30 | 10(23.8) | 6(14.3) | 26(61.9) |



Plate 1: Antibiogram patterns of the *S. aureus* isolates to different antibiotics on Mueller Hinton agar

Table 3: Distribution and prevalence of MRSA based on age groups from Specialist Hospital Sokoto and Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital Sokoto (UDUTH)

| Age Groups | MSSA | MRSA | Total |
|------------|----------|----------|---------|
| 1 – 10 | 9 (52.9) | 8(47.1) | 17 |
| 11 – 20 | 13(59.1) | 9(40.9) | 22 |
| 21 – 30 | 10(43.5) | 13(56.5) | 23 |
| 31 – 40 | 8(57.1) | 6(42.9) | 14 |
| 41 – 50 | 6(85.7) | 1(14.3) | 7 |
| >50 | 7(58.3) | 5(41.7) | 12 |
| Total | 53(55.8) | 42(44.2) | 95(100) |

P-value = 0.528, $\chi^2 = 4.150$

Table 4: Distribution of MRSA according to the gender of study subjects from Specialist Hospital Sokoto and Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital Sokoto (UDUTH)

| Gender | MSSA | MRSA | Total |
|--------|----------|----------|---------|
| Male | 29(60.4) | 19(39.6) | 48 |
| Female | 24(51.1) | 23(48.9) | 47 |
| Total | 53(55.8) | 42(44.2) | 95(100) |

P -value = 0.359, χ^2 = 0.842

Table 5: Distribution of MRSA between hospital in-patients and out-patients from Specialist Hospital Sokoto and Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital Sokoto (UDUTH)

| Category | MSSA | MRSA | TOTAL |
|--------------|----------|----------|-------|
| In-Patients | 26(48.1) | 28(51.9) | 54 |
| Out-Patients | 27(65.9) | 14(34.1) | 41 |
| Total | 53(55.8) | 42(44.2) | 95 |

P -value = 0.085, χ^2 = 2.962

Table 6: Prevalence of MRSA according to the specimen type used in the study from Specialist Hospital Sokoto and Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital Sokoto (UDUTH)

| Specimen | MSSA | MRSA | TOTAL |
|----------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Urine | 18(46.2) | 21(53.8) | 39 |
| Wound Swab | 9(53.3) | 7(46.7) | 16 |
| HVS | 11(57.9) | 8(42.1) | 19 |
| ECS | 3(75.0) | 1(25.0) | 4 |
| Catheter Tip | 4(80.0) | 1(20.0) | 5 |
| Wound Aspirate | 3(75.0) | 1(25.0) | 4 |
| Ear Swab | 5(100.0) | 0(0.0) | 5 |
| Nasal Swab | 0(0.0) | 1(100.0) | 1 |
| Urethral Swab | 0(0.0) | 1(100.0) | 1 |
| Pus | 0(0.0) | 1(100.0) | 1 |
| Total | 53(55.8) | 42(44.2) | 95(100) |

P -value = 0.289, χ^2 = 13.073

DISCUSSION

As a global health concern, the importance of *S. aureus* as a persistent nosocomial and community acquired pathogen cannot be over estimated. Oxacillin or methicillin-resistant (MRSA) isolates are among the major pathogens causing infections in the world, leading to the emergence of and disseminating increasingly virulent and multiresistant strains. This study showed that 42(44.2%) of the isolates obtained were methicillin resistant by the cefoxitin disc diffusion method. This finding is higher than findings of Adetayo *et al.*, (2014), Abdullahi and Iregbu (2018), Angela *et al.*, (2015), who reported a prevalence of 30.4% in Ibadan, 26.9% in Abuja and 31.4% in Brazil respectively. The rate 44.2% from this study is however similar to what was reported by Adeiza *et al.*, (2020) in Sokoto with 46.9%, Ariom *et al.*, (2019) in Abakaliki with 43.4% and Onemu and Ophori (2013) in Benin City with 79%, indicating that MRSA is ever increasing. This is further corroborated by findings of Abubakar and Sulaiman (2018), who in a systematic review of MRSA infections in Nigeria

reported an increase from 18.3% (2009) to 42.3% (2013). It is clear that MRSA has become a global nosocomial pathogen with attendant therapeutic problems and warrant urgent infection awareness, considering the common practice of unregulated sale of antimicrobial agents and movement of people which may result in rapid dissemination.

The prevalence rate of MRSA by study centre differs, Specialists Hospital has the highest MRSA prevalence of 57.1% (20 of 35) while UDUTH has a prevalence of 36.7% (22 of 60). The variations in the prevalence rates between the centres has been shown to be statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). UDUTH has a relatively lower prevalence rate when compared with the other centre apparently because it has better infection control measures and has a clean hospital environment than Specialists Hospital This is an indication that, its prevalence varies from one region to another and even among hospitals in the same city. The antibiogram pattern of the MRSA isolates showed that 39 (92.9%) were multi drug resistant and only 3(7.1%) were to 2 or

less antibiotics. The pattern showed a 78.6%, 64.3% and 61.9% resistance to ciprofloxacin, erythromycin and tetracycline respectively, while the most potent of the antibiotics tested were quinopristin/dalfostrin with 83.3%, rifampin with 81.0% and clindamycin with 71.4%. However, Mofolorunsho *et al.* (2015) in Anyingba reported 54% resistance to erythromycin and augmentine, and sensitivity to gentamicin, ofloxacin and ciprofloxacin as 100%, 81.8% and 72.7% respectively, while Ariomet *et al.*, (2019) in Abakaliki reported that the clinical isolates were completely resistant (100 %) to ceftazidime, tetracycline and penicillin and that gentamicin and ciprofloxacin were the most effective antibiotics. Another research conducted by Asiyet *et al.*, (2018) in Sokoto showed showed a 100% resistance to ceftazidime, cloxacillin and augmentine, while the most potent of the antibiotics tested were nitrofurantoin, quinopristin/dalfostrin and chloramphenicol with 96.7%, 95.7% and 86% respectively. Bunza *et al.*, (2019) also in Sokoto reported that 40% of the *S. aureus* isolates were susceptible to clindamycin, 64% to ciprofloxacin, 57% to erythromycin, 71% to gentamicin, 34% to Cefoxitin, 46% to quinopristin/dalfostrin, 58% to tetracycline and sulphamethaxazole. The pattern of resistance shown by *S. aureus* to many groups of antimicrobial agents in this research represents a serious concern in therapeutic option available to the clinician in managing such infections and further confirms various literatures that *S. aureus* is a multidrug resistant bacterium. However, the potency of quinopristin/dalfostrin, rifampin and clindamycin seen in this study is an indication that physicians can still prescribe this antibiotic based on empirical therapy when needed, especially for urgent infections.

The distribution of MRSA within different age groups was demonstrated in this study, the highest prevalence was found in 21-30 years age group with 56.5% and the least in 41-50 years with 14.3%. This is in agreement with findings of Adelowo *et al.*, (2014) in Nigeria, Kalyani *et al.*, (2012) in India who reported a higher prevalence in 20-29 years, Abdullahi and Ireghu (2018) in Abuja with the highest rate of 68% in 25 years and above and Adeiza *et al.*, (2020) in Sokoto with the highest rate of 36.8% between the ages of 21-25 and the least rate of 2.6% in 41 years and above. However, there was no statistical significant difference in MRSA carriage by age ($P > 0.005$).

The study also demonstrates a relatively higher rate of MRSA in females (48.9%) than males (39.6%). This finding corroborates many other studies conducted across the country (Onemu and Ophori, 2013, Adelowo *et al.*, 2014, Asiyet *et al.*, 2018, Abdullahi and Ireghu 2018 and Adeiza *et al.*, 2020). However, Kalyani *et al.*, (2012) reported a higher prevalence in males in India. It's natural for women to visit the hospital more than men do, for the obvious reasons associated with pregnancy (for antenatal care) and complications that may arise from childbirth. However statistical analysis has shown

that no significant difference exist ($P > 0.05$), indicating that sex is not a factor in colonization with MRSA.

Taking a cursory look at the rates of infection between the two patient groups in this study (the in-patients and out-patients) findings in this study show that in-patients are more prone to colonization by MRSA because they are more at risk than patients who only visit the hospital once in a while and in most cases for only a few hours. In-patients (51.9%) are more infected than out-patients (34.1%) even though the difference is not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Most studies involving these two groups have reported similar findings within (Abdullahi and Ireghu, 2018 with in-patients accounting for 62.9%: Asiyet *et al.*, 2018 with in-patients recording 62.5%: Adeiza *et al.*, 2020 with in-patients accounting for 21%) and outside the country (Maina *et al.*, 2013 in Kenya with 40.6% in in-patients: Prospero *et al.*, 2013 in Florida with 42.4% in in-patients: Tsige *et al.*, 2020 in Ethiopia with 30.8% in in-patients).

When the prevalence was analysed based on the type of specimen collected using the Chi-square test, it was found that there was no statistical significant difference in samples more likely to yield MRSA ($P > 0.05$). Samples with highest prevalence include urethral swab, nasal swab and pus with 100% prevalence. When examined closely the highest prevalence seen in relation to these specimen types, can be associated with the relatively lower sample size of these specimens. Thus, urine (53.8%), wound swab (46.7%) and HVS (42.1%) are the most infected of the specimens collected, as demonstrated in other studies in Nigeria (Nsofor *et al.*, 2016, Abdullahi and Ireghu 2018, Asiya *et al.*, 2018, Ariom *et al.*, 2019).

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that Specialists Hospital Sokoto has the highest MRSA prevalence rate of 57.1% (20 of 35) while UDUTH has a prevalence of 36.7% (22 of 60) and this revealed that methicillin resistant *S. aureus* infections are on the increase in Sokoto metropolis and becoming increasingly more resistant to other antibiotics. The study also demonstrates a relatively higher rate of MRSA in females (48.9%) than males (39.6%) and the highest prevalence was found in 21-30 years age group with 56.5% and the least in 41-50 years with 14.3%. It has also demonstrated that patients on admission are more at risk necessitating the need for infection control programmes in the hospitals.

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, N., and Ireghu, K. C. (2018). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a central Nigeria tertiary hospital. *Annals of Tropical Pathology*, 9(1), 6. [Crossref]
- Abubakar, U. and Sulaiman, S. A. (2018). Prevalence, trend and antimicrobial susceptibility of

Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Nigeria: a systematic review. *Journal of infection and public health*, 11(6): 763-770. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Adeiza, S. S., Onaolapo, J. A., and Olayinka, B. O. (2020). Prevalence, risk-factors, and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) obtained from nares of patients and staff of Sokoto state-owned hospitals in Nigeria. *GMS Hygiene and Infection Control*, 15. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Adelowo KA, Okon KO, Denu BA, Ladan J, Tahir F, Uba A (2014). Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Colonisation level among Patients seen at a Tertiary Hospital in Maiduguri. *Nigeria Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, 5(10): 238-244. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Adetayo T.O, Deji-Agboola A.M, Popoola M.Y, Atoyesi T.J and Egberongbe K.Y (2014). Prevalence of MRSA from clinical specimens in Ibadan Nigeria. *The International Journal of Engineering and Science*; 3(9):1-11.

Ajayi, O. D., Onasanya, A. A., Ojo, A. A., Obafemi, T. O., & Daramola, G. O. (2023). Distribution of lasI and rhlR virulent gene among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in clinical samples from Ekiti state university teaching hospital, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 22(3), 049-081. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Al-Trad, E. A. I., Che Hamzah, A. M., Pua, S. M., Chua, K. H., Hanifah, M. Z., Ayub, Q. & Yeo, C. C. (2023). Complete Genome Sequence and Analysis of a ST573 Multidrug-Resistant Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* SauR3 Clinical Isolate from Terengganu, Malaysia. *Pathogens*, 12(3), 502. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Angela Breves; Catia Aparecida C. Miranda; Claudia Flores; Ivano de Filippis and Maysa M. Clementino (2015). Methicillin- and Vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in health care workers and medical devices. *Brazilian Journal of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine*; 51(3): 143-152. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Ariom, T. O., Iroha, I. R., Moses, I. B., Iroha, C. S., Ude, U. I., and Kalu, A. C. (2019). Detection and phenotypic characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from clinical and community samples in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *African health sciences*, 19(2), 2026-2035. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Asiya Umar Imam, Shuaibu Bala Manga, Aliyu Sarkin Baki, Razaq Funsho Atata, Ibrahim Garba, Ahmed M. Ganau and Aminu Umar Imam. (2018). Prevalence and Antibigram of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical samples in Sokoto metropolis. *International journal of Innovative research and Development* 7(7):54-58.

Bunza, N. M., Isah, A. A., Hafsat, M. D. and Asiya, U. I. (2019). Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Clinical Samples in Specialist Hospital, Sokoto. *South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology*, 1-6. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2012). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests*; Approved Standard - Eleventh Edition. Pp. 11-13.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2014). 'Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement (M100-S24)', 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500 Wayne, PA 19087 USA. Pp 68-75.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2020). 'Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement (M100-S24)', 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500 Wayne, PA 19087 USA. Pp 68-75.

Dierikx, C., Hengeveld, P., Witteveen, S., van Hoek, A., van Santen-Verheuevel, M., Montizaan, M. & van Duijkeren, E. (2023). Genomic comparison of mecC-carrying methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from hedgehogs and humans in the Netherlands. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 047. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Garcia, L. S. and Isenberg, H. D. (2010). *Clinical Microbiology Procedures handbook* (3rd Edition). American society of microbiology press, Washington DC. [\[Crossref\]](#)

Kalyani, K., Karthika, J. and Sunil-Kumar, J. (2012). Prevalence of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Among Health Care Workers of Shri Satya Sai Medical College and Hospital -A Tertiary Care Centre. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (JDMS)*, 3(2): 23-27 [\[Crossref\]](#)

Li, X., Huang, T., Xu, K., Li, C. and Li, Y. (2019). Molecular characteristics and virulence gene profiles of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in Hainan,

- China. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 19(1):873. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-4547-5. PMID: 31640587; PMCID: PMC6805582. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Maina E K, CiiraKiiyukia , C. NjeriWamae A.C, Peter G. Waiyaki A , Kariuki S. (2013) Characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from skin and soft tissue infections in patients in Nairobi, Kenya. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 17 e115-e119e116. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Mofolorunsho, C. K., Ocheni, M., Omatola, C. A., & Agieni, A. G. (2015). Staphylococcus Aureus prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility profile in anyigba, north-central Nigeria. *American Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 11(4), 93 [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Nsofor, C.A., Nwokenkwo, V.N. and Ohale, C.U. (2016). Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Various Clinical Specimens in South East Nigeria. *MOJ Cell Science and Report*, 3(2): 1-5. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Nwabuiife, J. C., Hassan, D., Pant, A. M., Devnarain, N., Gafar, M. A., Osman, N. & Govender, T. (2023). Novel vancomycin free base-Sterosomes for combating diseases caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* and Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections (*S. aureus* and MRSA). *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, 79, 104089. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Onemu, O.S and Ophori, E. A (2013). Prevalence Of Multi-Drug Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* In Clinical Specimens Obtained From Patients Attending The University Of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 3(5): 154-159.
- Prosperi, M., Veras, N., Azarian, T.Rathore M., Nolan D., Rand K., Cook R.L., Johnson J., Morris J.G jr and Salemi M. (2013) Molecular Epidemiology of Community-Associated Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in the genomic era: a Cross-Sectional Study. *Scientific Reports*, 3(1902) . [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Rox, K., Becker, T., Schiefer, A., Grosse, M., Ehrens, A., Jansen, R. & Hoerauf, A. (2023). Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) of Corallopyronin A against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Pharmaceutics*, 15(1), 131. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Sarwar, S., Saleem, S., Shahzad, F., & Jahan, S. (2023). Identifying and elucidating the resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from hospital environment to conventional disinfectants. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 51(2), 178-183. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Sassmannshausen, R., Deurenberg, R. H., Köck, R., Hendrix, R., Jurke, A., Rossen, J. W. and Friedrich, A. W. (2016). MRSA prevalence and associated risk factors among health-care workers in non-outbreak situations in the Dutch-German EUREGIO. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 7, 1273. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Stefani, S., Chung, D. R., Lindsay, J. A., Friedrich, A. W., Kearns, A. M., Westh, H. and Mackenzie, F. M. (2012). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA): global epidemiology and harmonisation of typing methods. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 39(4): Pp. 273 - 282. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Tsige, Y., Tadesse, S., Tefera, M. M., Amsalu, A., Menberu, M. A., & Gelaw, B. (2020). Prevalence of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and Associated Risk Factors among Patients with Wound Infection at Referral Hospital, Northeast Ethiopia. *Journal of pathogens*, 2020. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- Turner, N. A., Sharma-Kuinkel, B. K., Maskarinec, S. A., Eichenberger, E. M., Shah, P. P., Carugati, M., ... & Fowler, V. G. (2019). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: an overview of basic and clinical research. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 17(4), 203-218. [\[Crossref\]](#)
- United Kingdom Standards for Microbiology Investigations (2014). *Bacteriology test procedures*. Issued by the Standards Unit, Public health England. (Issue no 3) Pp 8-11