

## REVIEW ARTICLE

## An Estimate of Time-Dependent Transmission of COVID-19 Pandemic in Katsina State from June to November 2021

Anasu Rabe\*  and Hamza Idris.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, P.M.B. 2218, Katsina, Nigeria.

**ABSTRACT**

This paper investigates the spread of COVID-19 pandemic in Katsina state from 23<sup>rd</sup> June to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2021, using graphical tools to visually analyse infection over time and time-dependent reproduction number to quantify the transmissibility of the disease. Study data consist of diagnostic results of reverse transcriptase real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assays (RT-PCR) on nine hundred and eighty-nine nasal swab samples from suspected COVID-19 cases, collected from public and private health facilities across Local government areas of the State and analysed by the Molecular Laboratory of the Federal Medical Centre Katsina, recording a total of 137 positive cases. Our investigation revealed that, over the study period, COVID-19 transmission reaches its peak on 17<sup>th</sup> October 2021 (Epidemic Week 41), with a mean Reproduction number ( $R_t$ ) of 3.22 and a standard deviation of 0.5976 (95% CI: 2.6193 - 3.8145), culminating in 19 new infections on Wednesday the 27<sup>th</sup> (Epidemic Week 43). The combined age groups 21-30 and 31-40 constituted the most affected cases with 29 (21.17%) and 19 (13.87%) positive cases, respectively. This was closely followed by age group 51-60 with 19 (13.87%) positive cases. On gender basis, 70 (51%) females tested positive compared to 67 (49%) males. We therefore conclude that for the third wave of COVID-19 pandemic in Katsina State, disease transmission reaches its peak in Epidemic Week 41 in October, then rapidly diminishes to  $R_t$  values of less than one, indicating that no new infections were expected by the end of November, with female gender and age groups in the range 21 to 40 years being most infected. We recommend that mitigation strategies that take into consideration features inherent in female gender and more pronounced in young (21 to 40 years) and middle-aged (51-60 years) adults should be adopted in case of future waves.

**ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received November 27, 2022

Accepted December 12, 2022

Published December 31, 2022

**KEYWORDS**

COVID-19 Transmission, Graphical Visualization, Infection, Reproduction Number

© The authors. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

**INTRODUCTION**

The December 2019 manifestation of a novel Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2) pathogen in Wuhan, China (WHO, 2020a), poses an existential challenge to the humankind and spurred governments and international organisations into a frenzy towards developing strategies for mitigation, control, treatment, and prevention of the disease. The World Health Organization (WHO) named the disease “COVID-19” (WHO, 2020b), declared that the outbreak constitutes a public health emergency of international concern (PHEIC) on 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 (WHO, 2020c) and, prompted by the exponential increase of case fatalities, declared the disease a pandemic on 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2020 (WHO, 2020d). Mitigation strategies such as mask wearing, social distancing, banning of gatherings and travellings, lockdowns and the use of non-pharmaceutical interventions such as quarantine, hand-washing with sanitizers and body temperature readings, were adopted and implemented across the globe. To achieve the goals of such and other mitigation strategies, there is need for a

proper and in-depth understanding of the disease's behaviour and mode of transmission. A critical step towards containing the disease should incorporate an understanding of its transmission dynamics: how the disease spreads from one person to another, the infectiousness and infectivity of an individual within a population, between populations and the media, factors and environmental conditions that facilitate transmission. Several studies were conducted towards understanding the spreading behaviour of COVID-19 over time (Hao *et al.*, 2020; Turasie, 2020; Wilasang *et al.*, 2022, Hwang *et al.*, 2022), space (Slater *et al.*, 2022) or both (Olusola *et al.*, 2020; D'Angelo *et al.*, 2021; Li and Dey, 2022) and naturally the best place to start is Wuhan, China.

Hao *et al.* (2020) studied the temporal transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China, by quantifying the infectiousness of asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic individuals between 1<sup>st</sup> January 2020 and 8<sup>th</sup> March 2020 using the basic reproduction number

**Correspondence:** Anasu Rabe. Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, P.M.B. 2218, Katsina, Katsina State, Nigeria. ✉ [anasurabe.23@gmail.com](mailto:anasurabe.23@gmail.com) Phone Number; +234 803 119 5672.

**How to cite:** Anasu R. and Hamza I. (2023). An Estimate of Time-Dependent Transmission of COVID-19 Pandemic in Katsina State from June to November 2021. UMYU Scientifica, 1(2), 174 – 187. <https://doi.org/10.56919/usci.1222.023>

( $R_0$ ). Their study of 32,583 laboratory confirmed positive cases revealed very high infectiousness of asymptomatic individuals (87%) and very high transmissibility with a basic reproduction number of 3.54. They observed that simultaneous mitigation efforts implemented in Wuhan were very effective in controlling the spread of the disease, reducing the basic reproduction number to 0.28, and by projection, infection to only 4% of diagnosed cases. One limitation of their approach is the assumption of equal spreading capacity of individuals among different age, gender and socio-economic groups. It is well-known in the literature that, based on individual variations, some have a very high spreading capacity or are superspreaders (Rambo *et al.*, 2021; Li *et al.*, 2022a) while age and gender are important risk factors that increase susceptibility to COVID-19 infection (Goldstein *et al.*, 2021; Permata *et al.*, 2021).

In Africa, Turasie (2020) investigated the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in twelve countries across the continent using Epidemic curves for visual analysis of the disease, time-dependent reproduction number ( $R_t$ ) to measure short-range transmissibility and explored the use of an Autoregressive Conditional Poisson (ACP) model in assessing long-range transmissibility. His results showed that Epidemic curves provide a visual means of tracking the transmission over time, identifying different waves of the disease and possibly inconsistent incidence patterns. They also revealed a consistent  $R_t$  value of one or more across all countries over the study period, indicating that an infected individual will likely infect at least one person with the disease.

In Nigeria, Elimian *et al.* (2020, 2022) and collaborators from the Nigeria Center for Disease Control (NCDC) and elsewhere studied the risk factors associated with COVID-19 positivity (Elimian *et al.*, 2020) and mortality rates (Elimian *et al.*, 2022) during the first and second waves of the pandemic. Their studies revealed that older age and gender (male at higher risk), together with some presenting symptoms, were the main risk factors for positivity to and mortality from the disease. Similar results were also reported for Nigeria by Utulu *et al.* (2022), for Ondo state by Isere *et al.* (2021) and for Delta state by Otovwe *et al.* (2022). Ladan (2020) studied the impact of measures adopted and implemented by Katsina State Government towards preventing the spread of the disease. A good understanding of COVID-19 transmission patterns and behaviour is critical in providing evidence-based framework that will inform

effective policies towards the improvement of the adopted and review of alternative measures for preventing the spread of COVID-19 in the State.

Therefore, we utilised some techniques for the graphical representation of data to provide a tool for visualising the pattern of transmission of COVID-19 in the State. These representations can help inform the general public about the pandemic's significant risk factors and effective mitigation strategies (Chen *et al.*, 2021). In addition, we obtained an estimate of a quantitative metric of the spread: the time-dependent reproduction number ( $R_t$ ), to provide a more versatile measure of transmissibility (Nash *et al.*, 2022) that can be utilised to inform decisions and reviews on the impact of adopted measures and the prospects of the alternatives. This research aims to utilise graphical tools to visualise the transmission of COVID-19 and estimate time-dependent reproduction number ( $R_t$ ) to quantify the spreading capacity of infected individuals in the State. Our results will facilitate public understanding of COVID-19 transmission and provide Katsina State Government with a viable framework for decision-making on prevention and control measures against future waves and epidemics.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Data Source, Structure and Summary

Data source is the Molecular Laboratory of the Federal Medical Center (FMC) Katsina. The FMC was chosen by the State government as the leading center (Ladan, 2020) for diagnosis and isolation of COVID-19 suspected cases from all local government areas of the state.

The data consisted of diagnostic results of reverse transcriptase real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assays on nine hundred and eighty nine (989) nasal swab samples collected from suspected COVID-19 cases across sixteen Local government areas of the State, recording a total of one hundred and thirty-seven (137) positive cases (infected individuals). The data has eighteen variables recorded using the COVID-19 Laboratory Linelist Reporting Form, however for this research, we only utilized four variables: Age, Gender, Month and RT-PCR assay results. We adapted the method proposed by Cori *et al.* (2013) and utilised the “EpiEstim” package of the “R” software (R Core Team, 2023) to estimate the real-time values of the  $R_t$ . Summary of these variables are given in the following tables:

**Table 1:** RT-PCR assays results by Zones and LGAs across the State.

Zone	LGA	RT-PCR			LGA Total	ZONE Total
		INCONCLUSIVE	NEGATIVE	POSITIVE		
Daura	Daura	8	230	4	242	315
	Kankia	0	33	18	51	
	Mani	0	0	1	1	
Funtua	Ingawa	0	19	2	21	73
	Bakori	0	0	1	1	
	Kafur	4	40	2	46	
Katsina	Malumfashi	0	26	0	26	601
	Batsari	0	45	1	46	
	Batagarawa	0	35	7	42	
	Charanchi	0	0	1	1	
	Dutsinma	0	28	6	34	
	Jibia	0	13	1	14	
	Kaita	6	201	18	225	
	Katsina	0	117	75	192	
	Musawa	0	27	0	27	
	Safana	0	20	0	20	
Test Total		18	834	137	989	989

**Table 2:** RT-PCR assay results by month and gender of the 989 diagnosed suspected cases

Gender	RT-PCR	June	July	August	September	October	November	Test Total
Female	Inconclusive	2	0	3	7	0	0	12
	Negative	24	14	53	118	116	59	384
	Positive	0	2	1	5	27	35	70
Male	Inconclusive	0	2	3	1	0	0	6
	Negative	56	45	43	150	100	56	450
	Positive	0	2	0	4	39	22	67
<b>Month Total</b>		<b>82</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>989</b>

**Table 3:** Age group distribution of the 989 diagnosed suspected cases of COVID-19

RT-PCR	0 -10	11 -20	21 -30	31 -40	41 -50	51 -60	61-70	70+	Total
Inconclusive	0	8	6	1	0	2	1	0	18
Negative	61	173	211	124	102	59	34	20	784
Positive	1	9	29	19	14	19	18	11	120
Total	62	190	246	144	116	80	53	31	922*

\*Note that sixty-seven age records were missing (50 Negative, 17 Positive) corresponding positive cases of COVID-19 over the study period to produce Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

From Tables 2 and 3, we extracted information on the

**Table 4:** Monthly RT-PCR assay results for the 137 diagnosed positive cases of COVID-19

Gender	RT-PCR	June	July	August	September	October	November	Total
Female	Positive	0	2	1	5	27	35	70
Male	Positive	0	2	0	4	39	22	67
Month Total		0	4	1	9	66	57	137

**Table 5:** Age group distribution of the 137 diagnosed positive cases of COVID-19

Gender	RT-PCR	0 -10	11 - 20	21 -30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 -60	61-70	70+	Total
Female	Positive	0	7	13	12	8	8	6	6	60
Male	Positive	1	2	16	7	6	11	12	5	60
Age-group Total		1	9	29	19	14	19	18	11	120*

\*Note that seventeen age records were missing (10 Female, 7 Male)

*Estimation/ Determination of Time-varying Reproduction Number: R<sub>t</sub>*

Time-varying Reproduction number (R<sub>t</sub>) is one of the standard metrics used in measuring transmission based on spreading capacity (You *et al.* 2020; Li 2022b). It accurately measures the average number of new infections (secondary) caused by a single infected (primary) individual. It provides a tool for real-time tracking of increases (R<sub>t</sub>>1) or decreases (R<sub>t</sub><1) in the transmission dynamics. A recent review by Nash *et al.* (2022) provided insight into the different methods of estimation, application and the challenges faced in using Time-varying Reproduction number (R<sub>t</sub>). In this paper, we estimated the R<sub>t</sub> by utilising a method proposed by Cori *et al.* (2013), as follows:

$$R_t = \frac{I_t}{T_t} = \frac{I_t}{\sum_{s=1}^t I_{t-s} W_s}$$

where I<sub>t</sub>: Number of new infections generated at time step 't,'

T<sub>t</sub>: The sum of infectiousness of infected individuals at time't,'

I<sub>t-s</sub>: Incidence at time step t-s

W<sub>s</sub>: Infectivity profile

S: Time since infection

t : Calendar time

We utilised the “R” software package “EpiEstim” (Thompson *et al.* 2019; R Core Team, 2023) to obtain an estimate of the R<sub>t</sub> and its associated Epidemic curve.

more information on the assay results across the state from a visual representation in Figure 1.

A careful study of Table 2 revealed a steady increase in suspected cases from June to October, followed by a rapid decrease in November. However, the number of confirmed cases increased from 3.16% in September (9 out of 285), to 23.4% in October (66 out of 282) and eventually to 33.4% in November (57 out of 172), respectively. We gleaned more information by utilising the R package “EpiCurve” (R Core Team, 2023) to visualise the daily incidence of the transmission over the study period, as shown in Figure 2.

There were 18 inconclusive RT-PCR diagnostic assay results (12 Female, 6 Male) out of the 989 cases and all were obtained between June and September. Figure 2 revealed that no inconclusive assay results were obtained beyond the 27<sup>th</sup> of September, indicating an increase in the efficiency of the RT-PCR diagnosis.

**RESULTS**

**Visualisation of COVID-19 Data**

The FMC Molecular laboratory received and diagnosed nasal swab samples from suspected cases and Table 1 represent the RT-PCR assay results during the third wave of the pandemic across zones and Local Government Areas (LGAs) of the State. The highest number 242 of suspected cases was recorded by Daura LGA, but with only four cases confirmed positive. It was followed by Kaita and Katsina LGAs with 225 and 192 suspected and 18 and 75 confirmed cases, respectively. We gleaned




Figure 1: Daily RT-PCR assay results across zones and Local Government Areas of Katsina State.




Figure 2: Daily RT-PCR assay results for the 989 diagnosed suspected cases of COVID-19




Figure 3: Age distribution of the 989 diagnosed suspected cases of COVID-19





Figure 4: Daily RT-PCR assay results by gender of the 137 confirmed cases of COVID-19

Table 3 represents the age distribution of the 989 suspected cases. It is apparent that the age group 21-30 has the highest number of suspected cases (246 of 922 or 26.68%), followed by age groups 11-20 (190 of 922 or 20.61%) and 31-40 (144 of 922 or 15.62%), respectively. However, from Figure 3, it is clear that overall COVID-19 infection is less than 14% (137 of 989 or 13.85%) and relatively proportional to the number of diagnosed suspected cases.

Table 4 represents the monthly confirmed cases by gender, revealing no positive cases in June and a relatively equal number of male and female cases until

the peak in October, in which males (39) have a higher number of cases than females (27).

To glean more information, Figure 4 provides a visual representation of daily records of COVID-19. It revealed that, although the number of cases for males and females was relatively equal, the number of cases for males was higher on the day of peaked infection (27<sup>th</sup> October, 2021). Table 5 represents the age group distribution by gender of confirmed cases. It is apparent that age group 21-30 is the most infected for both male and female categories, with females in age group 31-40 more infected while males in age group 51-60 were more infected. This information is represented in Figure 5.



**Figure 5:** Age group distribution by gender of diagnosed positive cases of COVID-19

*Time-Dependent Reproduction Number*

Table 6 provided daily incidence cases of COVID-19 over the study period, starting from the first incidence on 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2021, giving a total of 69 sliding weeks or Serial intervals. With no prior record of infection and 2 cases within two consecutive sliding weeks or Serial intervals, the  $R_t$  was high at the onset with a value of 3.72, suggesting that an infected individual will on average cause infection in at least four (secondary) individuals. However, with only 12 additional cases up to the end of September, this value rapidly declined and remained around one, except for the sliding weeks around which a case was recorded.

This suggested that an individual has the capability of infecting one (secondary) individual except around the aforementioned sliding weeks.


The  $R_t$  value started in October at 2.20 and rapidly increased, reaching a peak of 3.22 on 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2021. Then it rapidly decreased to 1.09 on 9<sup>th</sup> November 2021.

This is not unexpected since October has the highest number of positive cases (66). Although the Presidential Steering Committee (PSC) on COVID-19 officially announced the beginning of the third wave in Nigeria on 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2021 (NCDC, 2021), it is apparent that for Katsina State, the third wave reached its peak in October with 19 cases recorded on 27<sup>th</sup> October 2021 and the risk of transmission and infection of the disease diminished significantly from 10<sup>th</sup> November 2021, except in some consecutive sliding weeks around which 12 positive cases were recorded.

Temporal-Dynamics of the  $R_t$  values were plotted against the sliding weeks in Figure 6. Observe that the confidence interval was wider in sliding weeks with no or a few records, but narrowed as the cumulative number of cases increased. Also observe that from around the 62<sup>nd</sup> day of the study period,  $R_t$  values remained approximately less than one, suggesting that the risk of COVID-19 transmission has diminished significantly and no new infection was expected

**Table 6:** Daily Mean values of  $R_t$  with Incidence and sliding weeks or Serial Intervals ( $SI_{-}$ )

S/N	date	Incidence	SI_start	SI_end	$R_t$	S/N	date	Incidence	SI_start	SI_end	$R_t$
1	08/07/2021	1	1	7	-	36	22/09/2021	0	36	42	1.26
2	15/07/2021	1	2	8	3.72	37	23/09/2021	1	37	43	1.92
3	22/07/2021	0	3	9	2.64	38	25/09/2021	3	38	44	1.70
4	23/07/2021	0	4	10	2.21	39	27/09/2021	0	39	45	1.52
5	24/07/2021	0	5	11	1.97	40	28/09/2021	0	40	46	1.43
6	25/07/2021	0	6	12	3.03	41	02/10/2021	0	41	47	1.37
7	29/07/2021	2	7	13	1.86	42	04/10/2021	1	42	48	2.20
8	03/08/2021	0	8	14	1.23	43	05/10/2021	4	43	49	1.83
9	04/08/2021	0	9	15	1.28	44	06/10/2021	1	44	50	2.04
10	09/08/2021	0	10	16	1.33	45	12/10/2021	6	45	51	1.98
11	10/08/2021	0	11	17	1.35	46	18/10/2021	2	46	52	3.22
12	11/08/2021	0	12	18	1.36	47	21/10/2021	14	47	53	2.62
13	12/08/2021	0	13	19	0.45	48	22/10/2021	5	48	54	1.93
14	16/08/2021	0	14	20	0.56	49	25/10/2021	1	49	55	2.00
15	17/08/2021	0	15	21	0.72	50	26/10/2021	12	50	56	2.39
16	18/08/2021	0	16	22	0.89	51	27/10/2021	19	51	57	1.71
17	19/08/2021	0	17	23	1.06	52	31/10/2021	1	52	58	1.58
18	20/08/2021	0	18	24	1.25	53	01/11/2021	6	53	59	1.24
19	21/08/2021	0	19	25	2.90	54	08/11/2021	6	54	60	1.12
20	24/08/2021	1	20	26	2.49	55	09/11/2021	2	55	61	1.09
21	30/08/2021	0	21	27	2.14	56	10/11/2021	0	56	62	0.83
22	31/08/2021	0	22	28	1.99	57	11/11/2021	1	57	63	0.41
23	02/09/2021	0	23	29	1.90	58	12/11/2021	0	58	64	0.92
24	09/09/2021	0	24	30	1.85	59	13/11/2021	17	59	65	0.79
25	10/09/2021	0	25	31	2.71	60	14/11/2021	0	60	66	0.67
26	11/09/2021	1	26	32	1.51	61	15/11/2021	1	61	67	0.66
27	12/09/2021	0	27	33	1.49	62	16/11/2021	0	62	68	1.15
28	13/09/2021	0	28	34	2.35	63	17/11/2021	12	63	69	1.09
29	14/09/2021	1	29	35	2.75	64	18/11/2021	0	64	70	1.12
30	16/09/2021	1	30	36	2.71	65	22/11/2021	2	65	71	0.56
31	17/09/2021	1	31	37	2.11	66	23/11/2021	1	66	72	0.84
32	18/09/2021	0	32	38	1.44	67	24/11/2021	6	67	73	0.89
33	19/09/2021	0	33	39	1.39	68	25/11/2021	1	68	74	0.99
34	20/09/2021	0	34	40	1.74	69	27/11/2021	2	69	75	-
35	21/09/2021	1	35	41	1.28						



**Figure 6:** Mean values of  $R_t$  over the weekly sliding windows and a 95% Confidence Interval

**DISCUSSION**

Evolution of the COVID-19 virus poses serious challenges to prevention, control and mitigation strategies, with several variants identified through different waves of the pandemic and recent research efforts in Nigeria (Badmus *et al.*, 2023; Omede *et al.*, 2023; Onwube *et al.*, 2023; Adeyemi *et al.*, 2023; Moroh *et al.*, 2023; Taiwo *et al.*, 2023) and elsewhere around the world (Triambak *et al.*, 2023; Jameel *et al.*, 2023; Mitra *et al.*, 2023) focusing on understanding the behaviour of the disease through modelling, reproduction metrics, risk factors and other research approaches.

In this paper, we investigated the transmission of the disease using time-varying reproduction number ( $R_t$ ) metric and studied the effect of age and gender as risk factors during the third wave of the pandemic in Katsina state. Our findings revealed, as significant factors, a median age of 41.3 years, a starting  $R_t$  value of 2.2 with peak at 3.22, female gender constituting 51% of positive cases and age ranges 21-40 and more than 50 years constituting 40% each of the total infections. We compare our results with several studies on the third and other waves of the pandemic.

Ofori *et al.* (2022) studied the transmission dynamics of the disease in Ghana, reporting a peak  $R_t$  value of more than 2 at the national level, Greater Accra and the Ashanti region and an  $R_t$  value of more than 3 in other regions. This is in good agreement with our findings. However, in Lorestan, west of Iran, Rahimi *et al.* (2021) reported a peak  $R_t$  value of 4.97, which is far higher than our value. This should not be unexpected since Iran is the epicentre of the pandemic in the Middle East. In the United States, Politis *et al.* (2022) investigated the time-varying reproduction number in Arkansas and Kentucky, reporting peak values of 1.15 and 1.10, respectively. These lower values were achieved through policies and measures that effectively slowed transmission of the pandemic (Politis *et al.*, 2022)

From pandemic onset, age and gender have been identified as significant risk factors (Goldstein *et al.*, 2021;

Permata *et al.*, 2021; Hu *et al.*, 2021) in the dynamics of COVID-19 transmission. A study of the third wave of the pandemic in New York City by Tandon *et al.* (2022) revealed that males were the most affected, with mean age of  $60 \pm 19$  years at infection. In Baqubah, Iraq, a study of COVID-19 risk factors by Jameel *et al.* (2023) revealed that male (54.3%) gender is the most affected with age group 31-40 constituting 60.6% of positive cases. Similar results were reported in Tirana, Albania, by Petri *et al.* (2022), with age group 31-40 (17.3%) and male gender (61.6%) most affected. These two results closely agree with our findings on the effect of age group on the pandemic, but not the gender. However, in Nigeria, Adeyemi *et al.* (2023) reported female (56.8%) as the most affected gender, corroborating our findings. In yet another study of the third wave in the Gujarat state of India, Mitra *et al.* (2023) reported the age group 18-30 (73%) and female (55.4%) gender as the most affected. This closely agrees with our findings.

**CONCLUSION**

It is apparent that COVID-19 pandemic has passed, especially with the recent declaration by WHO Director-General (WHO, 2023) that COVID-19 is no longer a public health emergency of international concern, and the follow-up statement issued by the NCDC (NCDC, 2023). However, with international travelling restrictions lifted and COVID-19 protocols relaxed, both WHO and the NCDC cautioned that the dangers posed by the virus still remains within communities and hence a significant risk of transmitting a strain or new variants across the globe. The aim of the declaration was to guide and enable countries focus on long term management of the diseases, vaccination roll outs and implementing recent WHO initiatives and action plans on the pandemic.

Based on these observations and our research findings, it is apparent that research on COVID-19 is now even more important with COVID-19 being an established and ongoing health issue, especially in disease management (see for example Aryana *et al.* 2023) and

population immunity through vaccinations (see for example Sokunbi *et al.* 2023; Olawa *et al.* 2023) and other initiatives.

For mitigation strategies against future waves, a Modeling approach can provide a framework for prediction, prevention and control of the disease. The diagnosed swab samples were collected from sixteen local government areas across the State, as shown in Table 2. Identifying Local governments or zones in which the adopted mitigation strategies reported by Ladan (2020) failed or where the population is prone to a high risk of transmission and infection (see for example Taiwo *et al.* 2023) can also help the State in designing policies against future waves of the pandemic.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wishes to thank the Federal Medical Centre Katsina for providing the data used in this study.

## REFERENCES

- Adeyemi, O. O., Ndodo, N. D., Sulaiman, M. K., Et Al. (2023): SARS-COV-2 Variants-Associated Outbreaks Of COVID-19 In A Tertiary Institution , Nort-Central Nigeria, : Implications For Epidemic Control. *PLOS ONE*, 18(1), E0280756 [Crossref]
- Aryana, I. G. P. S., Setiati, S., Mulyana, R., Et Al. (2023): Indonesian Geriatrics Society Consensus On COVID-19 Management In Older Adults. *Acta Med. Indones – Indones. J. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 55, No. 1, Pp. 118-131.
- Badmus, N. I., Faweya, O. And Ige, S. A. (2023): Parametric Modeling Approach To COVID-19 Pandemic Data, *Open Journal Of Statistics*, 13, Pp. 61-73.
- Chen W., Zhang W. And Li L. (2021): Precise Transmission For COVID-19 Information: Based On China’s Experience. *International Journal Of Environmental Research And Public Health*, 18, 3015 [Crossref] Accessed: 26<sup>th</sup> June 2022 04:45:28 AM
- Cori A., Ferguson N. M., Fraser C. And Cauchemez S. (2013): A New Framework And Software To Estimate Time-Varying Reproduction Numbers During Epidemics. *American Journal Of Epidemiology*, 178(9): Pp. 1505-1512.
- D’Angelo, N., Abruzzo, A. And Adelfio, G. (2021). Spatio-Temporal Spread Pattern Of COVID-19 In Italy. *Mathematics*, 9, [Crossref] Accessed 29<sup>th</sup> April, 2022 01:52 AM
- Elimian K., Musah A., King C., Et Al. (2022): COVID-19 Mortality Rate And Its Associated Factors During The First And Second Waves In Nigeria. *PLOS Glob Public Health* 2(6), [Crossref] Accessed 04<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 05:09:05 PM
- Elimian K. O., Ochu C. L., Ebhodaghe B., Et Al. (2020): Patient Characteristics Associated With COVID-19 Positivity And Fatality In Nigeria: Retrospective Cohort Study. *BMS Open*, [Crossref] Accessed: 06<sup>th</sup> April, 2022 11:55:54 AM
- Goldstein E., Lipsitch M. And Cevik M. (2021): On The Effect Of Age On The Transmission Of SARS-COV-2 In Households, Schools And The Community. *The Journal Of Infectious Diseases*, 223, Pp. 362-369.
- Hao X., Cheng S., Wu D., Et Al. (2020): Reconstruction Of The Full Transmission Dynamics Of COVID-19 In Wuhan. *Nature*, Vol. 584, Pp. 420-424.
- Hu, D., Lou, X., Meng, N., Et Al. (2021): Influence Of Age And Gender On The Epidemic Of COVID-19 Evidence From 177 Countries And Territories- An Explanatory, Ecological Study. *Wien Klin Wochenschr*, 133, Pp. 321-330.
- Hwang, H., Lim, S-K, Song, S-A, Et Al. (2022), Transmission Dynamics Of The Delta Variant Of SARS-Cov-2 Infections In South Korea. *The Journal Of Infectious Diseases*, Academic.Oup.Com Accessed 26<sup>th</sup> June 2022 09:07 AM
- Isere E. E., Adejuga M. M., Fagbemi A. T., Et Al. (2021): Outcome Of Epidemiological Investigation Of COVID-19 Outbreak In A South-West State Of Nigeria, March To August 2020. *Open Journal Of Epidemiology*, 11, Pp 163-177.
- Jameel, I. J., Mohammed, H. J., Shawkat, M. A. And Ahmed, H. J. (2023): Relation Between Some Of Risk Factors And COVID-19 Infection. *Journal Of Genetic And Environmental Resources Conservation*, 11(1), Pp. 33-43.
- Ladan S. I. (2020): Preventive Measures Adopted Against Spread Of Corona Virus Disease By Katsina State Government, Nigeria. *Direct Research Journal Of Public Health And Environmental Technology*, Vol. 5(5), Pp. 92-101.
- Li, X And Dey, D. K. (2022). Estimation Of COVID-19 Mortality In The United States Using Spatio-Temporal Conway Maxwell Poisson Model, *Spatial Statistics*, [Crossref] Accessed 26<sup>th</sup> June 2022 06:56 AM

- Li X-P., Ullah S., Zahir H., *Et Al.* (2022a): Modeling The Dynamics Of Corona Virus With Super-Spreader Class: A Fractal-Fractional Approach. *Results In Physics*, [Crossref] Accessed: 04<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 05:15:22 PM.
- Li W., Bulekova K., Gregor B., *Et Al.* (2022b): Estimation Of Local Time-Varying Reproduction Number In Noisy Surveillance Data. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.*, A380: 20210303 [Crossref]
- Mitra, S., Muley, A., Bavish, A., Patel, G. And Bhattacharya, A. (2023): Characteristics An Outcomes Of COVID-19 During The Third Wave Of COVID-19 Pandemic: A Single-Center Descriptive Study. *Journal Of The Association Of Physicians Of India*, Vol. 71, Issue 2, Pp. 37-40.
- Moroh, J. E., Innocent, D. C., Chukwuocha, U. M., *Et Al.* (2023): Seasonal Variation And Geographical Distribution Of COVID-19 Across Nigeria (March 2020 – July 2021). *Vaccines*,11,[Crossref]
- Nash R. K., Nouvellet P. And Cori A. (2022): Real-Time Estimation Of The Epidemic Reproduction Number: Scoping Review Of The Applications And Challenges. *PLOS Digital Health* 1(6) [Crossref] Accessed: 04<sup>th</sup> September 2022 02:58:56 PM
- NCDC (2021): Weekly Epidemiological Report, Week 28: 12<sup>th</sup> -18<sup>th</sup> July, 2021. *Vol.2, No. 28 Reliefweb.Int* Accessed: 05<sup>th</sup> June 2022 07:05:49 AM
- NCDC (2023): Official Statement Following The Declaration F COVID-19 As No Longer A Public Health Emergency Of International Concern. Accessed 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2023, 5:52 AM [Ncdc.Gov.Ng](https://www.ncdc.gov.ng)
- Ofori S. K., Schwind J. S., Sullivan K. L., *Et Al.* (2022): Transmission Dynamics Of COVID-19 In Ghana And The Impact Of Public Health Interventions. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 107 (1), Pp. 175-179.
- Olawa, B., Lawal, A., Odoh, I., *Et Al.* (2023): Mistrust In Government And COVID-19 Vaccination Acceptance In Nigeria: Investigating The Indirect Roles Of Attitudes Towards Vaccination. *Journal Of The Egyptian Public Health Society*, 98(1), [Crossref] Accessed 29<sup>th</sup> May 2023; 06:52:52 AM
- Olusola, A., Olusola, B., Onafeso, O., *Et Al.* (2020). Early Geography Of The Coronavirus Disease Outbreak In Nigeria. *Geojournal*, [Crossref] Accessed 12<sup>th</sup> July 2021 03:49 PM
- Omede B. I., Odionyenma U. B., Ibrahim A. A. And Bolaji B. (2023): Third Wave Of COVID-19: Mathematical Model With Optimal Control Strategy For Reducing The Disease Burden In Nigeria. *International Journal Of Dynamics And Control*, 11, Pp. 411-427.
- Onwube, O., Ohalete, P. And Yakubu, J. A. (2023): The Coronavirus Disease Pandemic And The Basic Reproduction Number ( $R_0$ ) In Nigeria: What Does The Data Real? *Ibom Medical Journal*, Vol. 16, No. 1, Pp. 35-44.
- Otovwe A., Roli A. T., Nneka O. C., *Et Al.* (2022): Predictors Of COVID-19 Positivity In Ndokwa- West District In Nigeria: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Afro-Egypt J. Infect. Endem. Dis.*, 12(1), Pp 85-91.
- Permata A. D., Murti B. And Tamtomo D. G. (2021): Hypertension, Gender, Older Age And Their Relationships With COVID-19 Mortality: Meta-Analysis. *Journal Of Epidemiology And Public Health*, 06(01), Pp. 98-111.
- Petri, O., Abazaj, E., Huti, A. D. G., *Et Al.* (2022): The Epidemiological Situation And Clinical Characteristic Aspect Cause By COVID-19 In Albania. *Open Access Maced. J. Med. Sci.*,10(B), Pp. 1062-1067.
- Politis M. D., Hua X., Ogwara C. A., *Et Al.* (2022): Spatially Refined Time-Varying Reproduction Number Of SARS-COV-2 In Arkansas And Kentucky And Their Relationship To Population Size And Public Health Policy, March-November, 2020. *Annals Of Epidemiology*, 68, Pp. 37-44.
- R Core Team (2023): R - A Language And Environment For Statistical Computing. R Foundation For Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL [R-Project.Org](https://www.R-project.org)
- Rahimi E., Nazari S. S. H., Mokhayeri Y., *Et Al.* (2021): Nine-Month Trend Of Time-Varying Reproduction Numbers Of COVID-19 In West Of Iran. *Journal Of Research In Health Sciences*, 21(2), E00517. Doi: 10.34172/Jrhs.2021.54
- Rambo A. P. S., Goncalves L. F., Gonzales A. I., *Et Al.* (2021): Impact Of Super-Spreaders On COVID-19: Systematic Review. *Sao Paulo Med. J.*, 139(2), Pp 163-169.
- .Slater, J. J., Brown, P. E., Rosenthal, J. S. And Mateu, J. (2022). Capturing The Spatial Dependence Of COVID-19 Case Counts With Cell Phone

- Mobility Data. *Spatial Statistics*, 49 100540 [Crossref] Accessed 26th June 2022 06:56 AM
- Sokunbi, T. O., Oluyedun, A. T., Adegboye, E. A., *Et Al* (2023): COVID-19 Vaccination In Nigeria: Challenges And Recommendations For Future Vaccination Initiatives. *Vaccines*, 10, [Crossref]. Accessed 05 June 2023 07:01:51 AM
- Taiwo, O., Addie, O. And Seun-Addie, K. (2023): A Local Government Area Based COVID-19 Vulnerability Analysis In Nigeria. *Geojournal*, [Crossref] <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10708-023-10857-Y>
- Tandon, P., Leibner, E. S., Hackett, A., *Et Al.* (2021): The Third Wave: Comparing Seasonal Trends In COVID-19 Patient Data At A Large Hospital System In New York City. *Critical Care Exploration*. Vol. 4, No. 3, [Crossref] Accessed 4<sup>th</sup> June 2023.
- Thompson R. N., Stockwin J. E., Van Gaalen R. D., *Et Al* (2019): Improved Inference Of Time-Varying Reproduction Numbers During Infectious Diseases Outbreaks. *Epidemics*, 29 [Crossref] Accessed: 04<sup>th</sup> September 2022 02:59:31PM
- Triambak, S., Mahapatra, D. P., Barik, N. And Chutjian, A. (2023): Plausible Explanation For The Third COVID-19 Wave In India And Its Implications. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, 8, Pp. 183-191.
- Turasie A. A. (2020): Temporal Dynamics In COVID-19 Transmission: Case Of Some African Countries. *Advances In Infectious Diseases*, 10, Pp. 110-122.
- Utulu R., Ajayi I. O., Bello S., *Et Al.* (2022): Risk Factors For COVID-19 Infection And Disease Severity In Nigeria: A Case-Control Study. *Panafrican Medical Journal*, 41(317) [Panafrican-Med-Journal.Com](https://www.panafrican-med-journal.com) Accessed: 04<sup>th</sup> September 2022 07:16:42:PM
- Wilasang, C., Jitsuk, N. C., Sararat, C. And Modchang C. (2022). Reconstruction Of The Transmission Dynamics Of The First COVID-19 Epidemic Wave In Thailand. *Scientific Reports*, 12: 2002. [Crossref] Accessed 3<sup>rd</sup> April, 2022 08:50 AM
- World Health Organization. (2020a): Novel Coronavirus (2019-Ncov): Situation Report, 1 World Health Organization. [Apps.Who.Int](https://www.who.int) Accessed 26<sup>th</sup> June 2021
- World Health Organization (2020b): Novel Coronavirus (2019-Ncov): Situation Report, 22 World Health Organization [Apps.Who.Int](https://www.who.int)
- World Health Organization (2020c): COVID-19 Public Health Emergency Of International Concern (PHEIC) Global Research An Innovation Forum, 12<sup>th</sup> February 2020 Publication. [Who.Int](https://www.who.int) Accessed 26<sup>th</sup> June 2021.
- World Health Organization. (2020d): WHO Director-General's Opening Remarks At The Media Briefing On COVID-19-11 March 2020. WHO Director General's Speeches. Geneva. [Who.Int](https://www.who.int) Accessed 26<sup>th</sup> June 2021
- World Health Organization. (2023): Statement On The Fifteenth Meeting Of The IHR (2005) Emergency Committee On The COVID-19 Pandemic [Who.Int](https://www.who.int). Accessed 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2023, 05:32 AM
- You C., Deng Y., Hu W., *Et Al.* (2020): Estimation Of The Time-Varying Reproduction Number Of COVID-19 Outbreak In China. *International Journal Of Hygiene And Environmental Health*, 228, [Crossref]